WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

(Cosme	<u>Franc</u>	isco Yanez-Pesqueria	Case Number: _	CR 04-675-11-PHX-JAT	
	ordance tablished		e Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) (Check one or both, as applicable.)), a detention hearing has b	peen held. I conclude that the following facts	
	-		convincing evidence the defendant is n this case.	a danger to the community	y and require the detention of the defendant	
	by a pr	•			ne detention of the defendant pending trial in	
				FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)	The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is				
			a crime of violence as defined in 1	8 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
			an offense for which the maximum	sentence is life imprisonm	ent or death.	
			an offense for which a maximum to	erm of imprisonment of ten	years or more is prescribed in	
			a felony that was committed after t described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1	he defendant had been co)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses ate or local offenses.	
	(2)	The o	ffense described in finding 1 was cor or local offense.	mmitted while the defendar	nt was on release pending trial for a federal,	
	(3)	A per impris	iod of not more than five years has conment) for the offense described in	elapsed since the (date of finding 1.	of conviction)(release of the defendant from	
	(4)	reaso			no condition or combination of conditions will ity. I further find that the defendant has not	
			Alter	native Findings		
	(1)	There	is probable cause to believe that the	defendant has committed	an offense	
			for which a maximum term of impri	isonment of ten years or m	ore is prescribed in²	
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)	·		
	(2)	The c	defendant has not rebutted the prestions will reasonably assure the appe	umption established by fir arance of the defendant as	nding 1 that no condition or combination of srequired and the safety of the community.	
			Alter	native Findings		
\boxtimes	(1)		is a serious risk that the defendant wopearance of the defendant as require		bination of conditions will reasonably assure	
	(2)	No co	endition or combination of conditions v	will reasonably assure the	safety of others and the community.	
	(3)		e is a serious risk that the defendant was pective witness or juror).	rill (obstruct or attempt to ol	ostruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate	
	(4)					

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or

⁽c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Case 2:04-cr-00675-JAT Document 331 Filed 09/05/08 Page 2 of 3

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)

(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convir evidence as to danger that:
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
×	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.
×	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cust Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcul to assure his/her future appearance.
×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
	The defendant lives and works in Mexico.
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
The d	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
In ad	dition:

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

³ "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 4th day of September, 2008.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge